

Date:09/30/93
Page:1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATIONAGENCY : SSCIA
RECORD NUMBER : 157-10011-10041

RECORDS SERIES :

AGENCY FILE NUMBER :

DOCUMENT INFORMATIONORIGINATOR : SSCI
FROM :
TO :TITLE :
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING DATED JULY 16, 1975DATE : 07/16/75
PAGES : 69SUBJECTS :
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING; TESTIMONY OF PROUTY, L. FLETCHERDOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : T
RESTRICTIONS : REFERRED
CURRENT STATUS : P
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 09/29/93

OPENING CRITERIA :

COMMENTS :
SSCI Box number 283, Folder 6-----
[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

1 they operate and the difference between a contact at a pro-
2 prietary firm, like Air America or ~~Pathway~~, Incorporated or the
3 Mullen Company would contact, you deal with contact men, some
4 of whom were military who are cleared, but others are agency
5 who are in there.

6 Like Gil Greenaway was in the Pentagon, he was an
7 Agency employee, he was not a military man. So when air lines
8 contacted Greenaway they thought they were contacting a military
9 man, but he was really an employee of the Agency, for other
10 reasons. But he was a contact man. So there was an array of
11 different contact men.

12 Now, in that elaborate context Schorr approached the
13 subject, and he said, were there contacts in the White House?

14 And I said, well, sure. Being the senior members of NSC
15 we had contact for our Forty Committee work, and then 54/12
16 Committee, and we knew who to deal with in the White House.

17 And he said, well, were there ever any otherkind of
18 contacts?

19 And I said, the only POW contact was a very slight thing
20 tied up with the Mullen Company, and then went on into the
21 story.

22 And the only way that I heard about the contact was,
23 not that I was able to say that Butterfield was the
24 contact, but that they brought up the name of Butterfield, and
25 they said, we will get this business done --