157-10005-10225

Date:10/01/93

Page:1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : SSCIA

RECORD NUMBER: 157-10005-10225

RECORDS SERIES :

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: R891

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : SSCIA

FROM:

TITLE:

TESTIMONY/REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS WITH EXHIBITS

DATE: 08/12/75

PAGES: 90

SUBJECTS:

TESTIMONY OF HOUSTON, LAWRENCE RE: CIA AND CASTRO

EXHIBITS ARE INCLUDED

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

CLASSIFICATION: T

RESTRICTIONS : REFERRED

CURRENT STATUS : P

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 10/01/93

OPENING CRITERIA:

COMMENTS:

BOX 248-02

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

TOP SECRET

18

Niarchos' ship captains named Katopodes brought a libel action I believe it was, against Onassis for some letter he had written about some activities of Katapodes, I can't remember the details now.

We didn't know where this was leading. So this was in the Supreme Court of New York State, and so I went to a fellow I knew in the U.S. Attorney's office and asked him if he could keep track of how this suite was developing to see if it was leading into some of the connections we had, particularly with Niarchos.

And he got some of the pleadings and some of the pretrial evidence --

Mr. Wides. But you don't recall any contacts between the Agency and the Justice Department resulting in Mr. Yeagley going up to try and halt some aspects of the grand jury proceedings in New York growing out --

Mr. Houston. It strikes no valve.

Let me ask just one more question in this area and then we will move on to the document I asked you to look at before we started.

Mr. Maxwell. I have just one other question.

Mr. Wides. Do you recall the intemous Killham case involve

ting the altreged weometant cotth of a former CAF aterline agency

employee in Thailand in which it was alleged that two other

egency employees - Mr. Kilhem, I believe, had been a CAF

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

employee. And at the time Mr. Joost and the other fellows were CIA employees of the station at Thailand in 1951 they were alleged to have been involved in disposing of Mr. Wilham's body, and there was a serious question as to whether one or both of them had been involved in his death. And then I believe in 1955 this was brought to the attention of the Agency through the efforts, among others, of Mr. O'Donnell, who was then the station chief, Justin O'Donnell, who came back and raised it with Mr. Wiesner, and then I believe it was raised with Mr. Kirkpatrick and with Yourself.

Do you recall that incident and the eventual denouement of the affair?

Mr. Houston, I cannot remember the exact chronology.

I had thought they had gotten the report on the problem a

little earlier than you suggested. But I can't argue it.

Mr. Wides. Do youknow if any action was taken earlier than the time in 1955 when Mr. O'Donnell first raised it with Mr. Wiesner?

Mr. Houston. I didn't know that Justin O'Donnell was the one -- at least I don't recall it, so I don't know exactly how it first came to my attention. But when it did, to the best of my recollection, Lyman Kirkpatrick and I, and I think Frank Wiesner was probably involved, tried to assemble all the facts to find out insofar as possible what had gone on. It was a confused picture. All sorts of interpersonal feelings

WARD & PAUL

110 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

that	I	recal	ll were	e goi:	ng c	n	out	there.	And	Ithink	one	of	the
Thai	pc	olice	might	have	bee	n	invo	olved.		Ithink	,		

Mr. Wides. I don't know if it refreshes your recollection, but I believe a Colonel Rack was a local official who had some knowledge of it.

Mr. Houston. To the best of my recollection I put this together and talked to Allen Dulles who was much concerned about it. He said, I think we ought to report that to Justice.

And I said, there is no particular problem, because there is nothing we can do about it because there is no crime against the U.S. that I canding in this situation, it is a crime against the Thai sovereignty.

And Allen said, that well may be. But I still think that I want to report this to Justice.

And he asked me to prepare a detailed report on a classified basis. If hwe forgotten the nature of the classification. I suppose the relations with CAT at that time were still basically top secret.

So, I did prepare a report and delivered it to -- I can't remember-- probably to the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, who ever it was.

They said to the effect, thank you, that is it. There is nothing we can do.

Mr. Wides. Was there any discussion of the possibility

TOP SECRET

NW 50955 DocId:32202415 Page 4

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOP SECRET

of giving information to the Thai authorities so that they could decide whether to exert jurisdication?

Mr. Houston. My recollection is that the indications were that the Thai authorities had as much information as we did. I don't remember to the contrary, but a lot of the information we got came from the Thais.

Mr. Wides. Did Mr. Kirkpatrick go over to Thailand to talk to some of the people there to find out what they knew about the situation?

Mr. Houston. I don't recall

Mr. Maxwell. Was an action taken against either of the CAT employees?

Mr. Wides. Dennis Fleming was the other one. And he was recalled.

Mr. Houston.

And Kilham?

Mr. Wides. Joost and Fleming were the two persons against whom the charges or allegations were raised.

Kilham was the victim.

Mr. Houston. I remember Joost being a problem, but I can't remember what the outcome was. And Dennis Fleming just strikes a very faint bell. I can't remember at this time.

Mr. Wides. Did you have another question in this area?

Mr. Maxwell. I just wanted to say, if you wanted to continue, you said there were three cases that eliminated the

TOP SECRET

kind of cases which CIA had with Justice, and the referrals, and you had gone through one. And I wondered if you wanted to go through the other two.

Mr. Houston. All right.

The second one was quite an interesting one. If I give it in too much detail, excuse me.

Mr. Maxwell. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Maxwell. Back on therecord.

Mr. Houston. The staff officer in Italy all of a sudden disappeared from his post, and there was indication that he had with him a considerable sum of money, something in the nature of \$30,000, I can't remember the exact amount. This was promptly reported, and he disappeared into the blue.

The station started looking into it. And I believe we sent people over to try and look into it, and found that there had been a serious family problem between himself and his wife.

Finally, through some sort of source in Mexico we got word that this fellow was near Mexico City, and he passed word to the station through a lawyer that he had written all the operational details of what he had been engaged in in Italy, which again were very sensitive, sealed them, and put them in a vault which only thelawyers could get at, with instructions to his lawyers that if anything happened to him, no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOP SECRET

The SEC, as a result has raised some questions with Justice between our justice and our statutory problems. before Justice now.

Mr. Wides. Is there a file in the OGC office generally regarding, not necessarily every one, but many of your -which would contain many or most of OGC contacts with Administrative agencies over the years?

Mr. Houston. No, because they weren't -- there probably is a substantial file on relations with Internal Revenue. But those were to a large part either working out our own tax equations on our own personnel with cover problems which you probably know about, or the CAT situation, or Southern Air Transport, and not interferring with IRS prosecutions, although several times we were involved -- here is the type of case I guess you are talking about.

What is the fellow out in the Philippines -- I think his name is Stronghill -- who had one of the biggest tax assessments made against an individual made against him, I think, \$2 million? And Scompfill very definitely tried to involve us in his defense. There was some connection with Stonehull in the Philippines, you couldn't be in the Philippines without some connection with them. And yet gave IRS the full information, and said, don't bother with us, we are not concerned.

Mr. Wides. What about such things as the contacts with

DocId:32202415 Page 7

Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

9 -

ARD & PAUL

TOP SECRET

Mr. Houston. It was a memo of DITEL's that I saw.

I think it was dated after I left. I saw it in draft afterward. It had to do, I recall, with operations in the Trust Territories.

Mr. Wides. In other words, the first category of issue that I mentioned?

Mr. Houston. Yes.

Mr. Wides. With regard to MH MUTUAL, which is a topic that we obviously don't have time to discuss fully today, and we have more yet seen the files, I wonder -- and Mr. Maxwell was going to pursue this, and I may be back -- if you could give me a rough idea during the period when it was under your stewardship of the organizational chart, as it were, for the policy committee, the investment committee, and so forth, how were the questions of what investments could be made with the pension fund and the insurance fund and the like, how was that policy developed, and whowas involved, and so forth?

Mr. Houston. The Pawyer running the actual operation reported directly to me.

Mr. Wides. WAs he in the OGC office? On part of ANVIL?

Mr. Houston. He was ANVIL, a staff official but under unofficial cover.

Mr. Wides. Meaning that he was in a law firm that essentially a proprietary.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

Mr. Houston. Not entirely a proprietary. We supported part of it, and part of it was a legitimate law firm, they worked together and shared the firm.

Mr. Wides. Is it possible for you to indicate who that is, or is that someone whom you would not like to disclose.

Mr. Houston Can't do that.

Mr. Wides. How would we refer to him in terms of his position if we were trying to ascertain his identity, or if we were negotiating with the Agency, as it were? What was his title vis-a-vis the mutual management? Was he the project officer?

cainly -- let's call him the He was ces Mr. Houston. project officer for MM ANVI

Mr. Wides. And that was for a good many years, one particular person?

Mr. Houston. Wes. He was there from pretty near the start until he retired by, about two years ago, three years ago. So, he was there all through the sixties, I would say, or the seventies.

> Mr. Wides. This is not John Keaney, is it Mr. Houston. No.

Wides. Was John Keaney's role more in terms of setting up proprietaries and incorporating them?

Mr. Houston. John Keaney?

24 25

TOP SECRET

Mr. Wides. Yes, a Washington attorney -- or Kenney

tral cover projects. He was not an OGC project that I recalled.

Mr. Wides. He was not prominently involved in establishing proprietaries or their incorporation?

Mr. Houston. He might have worked in some of the central cover situations, but I don't recall him being involved in any of the proprietaries I worked on.

Mr. Wides. With regard to MH MUTUAL, as you can readily understand, we are interested in exploring its dimensions in nature, and are really beginning to get access to materials on it. Without taking you chrough all of its multi-faceted aspects, which would take some time, let me ask you one or two questions with regard to concerns that have been raised by the committee.

Do you recall at any time questions arising about the conflict of interest or any questionable aspects of the investment decisions of the MH MUTUAL program or any part of it?

And by that I do not mean an individual who obtained intelligence information and was trading on his own, or an investment club within the agency, or that kind of thing, but questions involving either the Agency using insider information, if you will obtained through its intelligence activities or otherwise in making investment decisions or buying stock or depending, or making other investments to assist the person

TOP SECRET

or the corporation or country whose securities were being invested in?

Mr. Houston. There were about two or maybe three recommendations from the Investment Counsel we had at the time we were turned down --

Mr. Wides. Excuse me. Was the Investment Counsel the person you were referring to?

Mr. Houston. No, this was an obtside investment counsel we retained for advice for a period of time.

Mr. Wides. Was it a firm or an individual?

Mr. Houston. It is a well-known firm. And they made at least two or three recommendations to invest in stock of companies which we questioned rather extensive contractual arrangements, and in view of those contractual arrangements, I recommended that we reject the recommendations.

And we did.

Mr. Wides. Do you recall any instances where investments were made and it was then learned or there was
adversion to the fact that there were contractual relationships, or whether they were known in advance and it was weighed
and the decision was made to go ahead with the investment?

Mr. Houston. No. The only ones I recall were turned down. Since there was ample room to investigate, there was no need to get in a position of possible implication of conflict of interest. So, we erred on the side of turning down

TOP SECRET

rather than going ahead.

And incidentally, the outside investment counsel would not know why we turned it down.

Mr. Wides. Were all of the investment decisions for the assets of MH MOTUAL -- and you use that in the broad sense, I don't fully understand the relationships among all the aspects of the complex -- but were all of the investment decisions, the Eurodollars and the small amount of stock and the like made by outside counsel or were there some investment initiatives which originated within the Agency?

Mr. Houston. For a while almost all of them were made by this outside counsel. It was not too happy a relationship, not because of his fault. I think he was doing his honest best. But we took too long processing his recommendations and often lost a market by taking too long through our own internal controls.

So, finally, we decided that while we had gone to him for a good reason, that is, so we could show that we had relied on outside counsel of known stature, that it was not fair either to him or to us to be in circumstances where we were really secondguessing him through our own internal procedures and our own invetment sort of Board of Directors. So we left, I hope, on friendly terms.

Mr. Wides. About when was that?

Mr. Houston. Let me guess at around 1969, something

TOP SECRET

like that.

Mrt. Wides. Up until then as far as you know there was no investment of Agency funds that were made as a result of any decisions or implications within the CIA which might then have been run by him for his independent advice but might have originated or prompted within the Agency?

Mr. Houston. There may have been those. You see, on our Board of Directors to which we referred all basic investment decisions we had three of our top economists, and at least one of them was a member of a couple of college investment boards, so that they had the benefit of the advice to those university investment counsel.

Mr. Wides. Was he a consulting economist or a member--

Mr. Houston. A member of the staff.

Mr. Maxwell. Mrs. Thumberg?

Mr. Houston. Yes.

Mr. Wides. And her relationship with the university board was what, as an alumni?

Mr. Houston. As an alumni. She was called as a consultant on their investment program.

We also had the advice of a couple of friendly banks, and at least a couple of insurance companies would freely give us the benefit of their investment policies.

Mr. Wides. What was the relationship between yourself and the banks and the insurance companies, the CIA?

TOP SECRET

Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Houston. To go back to the start -- it may have already been told -- back in the last fifties we found in the OGC office that the hardest thing to cope with were benefits for death or disability insured under covery circumstances, particularly when, as so often happened, no prior planning had been made for this. Even the prior planning is difficult, because if you are doing to put an agent into certain circumstances, and say you will get him insurance through Mutual of Omaha, if the circumstances of the Department are later known to Mutual of Omaha, energially you wouldn't have your insurance.

So, we came to the conclusion that we needed our own insurance business, and we started by buying two very small off-shore insurance companies, and started writing death and health policies. Now, the business grew quite rapidly to cover all sorts of covert operations. And we went to two large outside insurance companies and asked if they would cooperate and take some of the policies either on a fully protected basis from us, or if they saw fit, for their own account. And both of them did both. They would take some risks for their own account, and the only thing we would ask is that they protect the investigation in case it got into sensitive fields.

DocId: \$2202415 Page 14

TOD SEC

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOP SECRET

n others they would ostensibly take the risk and we would stand behind them.

> Mr. Wikes. So that was indemnification?

Mr. Houston. Complete indemnification. And by large this arrangement worked extremely well.

Mr. Wikes. Southose were the kinds of insurance companies were were talking about that would give us the benefit of their investment?

Mr. Houston. Both of them had large investment portfolios of their own, and feel I gave us access to their investment manager, who were most cooperative in what they were investing.

Mr. Wikes. And what was the point of contact in the Agency during that period for the insurance companies?

Mr. Houston. I went in both cases to set up the original contact, and then this project officer W primary.

Mr. Wikes. And he would have been the point of contact for receiving their investment views?

Mr. Houston. He or his office.

Mr. Wides. And you mentioned also a bank as an outside source.

Mr. Houston. There were a couple of banks, but one particularly. We kept some of the money on deposit with the bánk. And we had some offshore assets that were ostensibly

TOP SECRET

held by an offshore subsidiary of the bank. The bank at our request gave us a flat guarantee of repayment no matter what on these assets. So, we felt well protected on this. And also, when we deposited with them the certificates of deposit we got the normal prime rate on our deposits. And here again they particularly in the field of Eurobonds had some good advice, and we also went to another brokerage house in New York who had investment advisory staff that specialized in Eurobonds. So far as I know, they did not know the Agency was involved, but they gave advice on the best interest rates in Eurobonds and certificates. It was a highly specialized field.

Mr. Maxwell. Who was going to the investment house, the project officer?

Mr. Houston. The project officer

Mr. Wides. Do you recall any dealings with Suydam and Company?

Mr. Houston.No. I have been asked time and time again about Suydam Company, and the only thing I knew was from personal knowledge going back to a trust my sister has an interest in in the Guaranty Trust. They had one of these holding companies which I think was called Suydam and Company. But you never heard -- who was it? I know. One of our directors of Southern Air Transport told me that he was continually being asked by contact with Suydam and Company. And he said

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SECRET

that is the only one he knows, was the Guaranty Trust. was someone else, I don't know about it.

Mr. Wides. GEtting back to the question I alluded to while we were off the record, did any questions arise about whether the people on the board, the investment board, or managing board of Mutual who were from within the Agency, would have access to economic intelligence or political intelligence relevant to their own thinking on investments, and where there was any question of a proprietary involved?

The members of the board were always free Mr. Houston. to bring up their ideas for investments, particularly in the stock field, until more recent years. But mainly we were consulting the economic experts for their general economic knowledge on trends and any input they could give on basic investment policies.

Mr. Wides. That would include, I assume, economic intelligence regarding, for example, the trend of whether there was likely to be a copper shortage, or the trend of whether there was likely to be more nationalization of rubber plantations or oil?

How would you differentiate that from economic intelligence except that it would be --

Because our investments, except for the F. Houston. Eurobonds, were almost entirely in U.S.investments. Mile these were trained economists, their main professional

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DocId: 3220 15 Page 18

TOP SECRET

interest was in the foreign field. And I don't remember them staying for instance, let's get into copper, it is going to be in very short supply in Peru or whatever. I don't remember any discussion of that sort.

Mr. Wides Do you recall any policy papers or reviews generally as to the use of Agency knowledge in the broadest sense of its intelligence fund in regard to the investment decisions as distinct from decisions that were generated wholly by outside?

There were various basic general policy Mr. Houston. papers -- usually I would prepare them and put them before the board -- in which this may have been touched on. I don't recall it specifically.

The source of funds invested under the Mr. Maxwell. auspices of the board were what?

The initial source of funds was usually Mr. Houston. reprogrammed at the year's end available for operational use. And this was regarded as an operational use necessary to support operations because of the need for the basic insurance function.

In other words, all these companies that we had in this insurance complex appeared to have the substance and insraance company would be expected to have. Actually, whole fund for all of them was managed as a central fund under this one project officer. On the design and organizational

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

chart it looked very complicated. In operation it was quite simple. It was handled really as one entity with various faces appearing. And the complications of those whereby maintaining your directors, who took no part in the operation, your companies directors, and things, and keeping your letterhead straight for your writing for the different companies.

Mr. Maxwell. Were there other sources of funds, profits made by proprie aries in stock or bond transactions that went back into the investment fund?

Mr. Houston. They would all go into the central investment fund, and in the initial stages, particularly where there was income from funds and dividends -- until the stock market broke there were some caputal gains registered. Then the investment policy went more and more, particularly as the interest rate went up, in the Eurobonds and certificates of deposit, where for a while you were getting -- I think we got a weighted average of over 11 percent. And then those would go back into the fund against the insurance loss accountability. The most difficult thing we had was trying to decide what was enough, because there was no actuarial experience such as in a nominal insurance company.

Mr. Wides. You mean the capitalization of particular companies against insurance?

Houston. Exactly.

Mr. Wides. What about proprietary profit, was that

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOP SECRET

durce of funds that went into the total capital fund

Houston. No. If you reorgnized a proprietaty or disposed of it, the proceeds identified as the proceeds of that might go into this fund until their eventual disposition back into the Treasury, or whatever was decided.

Mr. Wides. What about prior to dissolution, just the annual operating profit, were any not left in the proprietary but taken out in part and put into the fund

In the first place this wasn't a frequent Mr. Houston. occurence in propriataries. In fact MH MUTUAL and Air America were the only substantially profitable operations. There were minor profits in others, but by and large as far as I know they were left in the companies until they were dissolved. And toward the end of Air America I believe we let off some of the profits before the final dissolution and then took the rest of them over, and they eventually turned them into the Treasury.

Mr. Wides. With regard to the investment, in the case of certificates of deposit abroad I take it that is what you are referring to as the weighted average, when you weighted Eurodollars Eurobonds and certificates of deposit Whatever the form were some of the investments in foreign countries made in any way in consultation with the operating divisions in regard/to the non-investment aspects of the loan, if you will, the possible use of them for covert assistance in the broade

DocId: #2202415

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

TOP SECRET

that term? sense

Mouston. No.

Let us get one thing straight. The certificate of deposit were domestic.

Mr. Wides Were there any foreign loans?

Mr. Houston. There were no foreign loans that I recall. The Eurodollar bonds were in the case those which were guaranteed by a U.S. company.

You don't recall any investment in obliga-Mr. Wides. tions of a foreign country?

Mr. Houston. Not of a foreign country as such. believe there were a couple in Foreign companies. I can't even be sure of that but my recollection is by and large of subsidiaries of U.S. companies which do not guarantee

Mr. Wides. Thank you.

their subsidiary bonds

Mr. Maxwell. I wouldlike to go through some of the material in the Olsen case. And I have marked as Houston Exhibit 5 the excerpts from the IG's diary which I showed you before.

> (The document referred to was marked Houston Exhibit No. 5 for identification.)

DocId: 32202415 Page 21

25