STAPE		•		
UNCLAS	MILL CHECK CLASSI A	TION TOP AND	2077	
			SECRET	
	CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE	E AGENCY	T. C. C. C. L.	
TO	2 COLAL ROUTI	NG SLIP		
	ME AND ADDRESS		7	
11 1011	4/00	INITIALS	DATE	
1	THA- Prop	- 1		
1º /2/2	70	7		
3	- The same		1	
 	<i>U</i>	1		
Con	Λ			
5	<i>D</i>	1 1	7	
3		1		
. 6		1	- 1	
	· .	1		
APPROVAL	DIRECT REPLY		i	
COMMENT	DISPATCH	PECONNERE RE	PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION	
CONCURRENCE	FILE	RETURN	ATION	
	INFORMATION .			
Remarks:				
, pulled tigether				
Remarkon These are notes pulled tigether in hurry for whe dies in wiew of his went to Doodwin with Barnes.				
14.				
man to robodium with Barnes				
It last minute Whedlese was				
manue Whitaliae was				
Scrubbed as Mr. Bissal was to				
Gas. So ho T				
go, do now They are just ramblinde				
12 an overworked				
The same of the sa				
FOLD HERE				
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				
(1) [] [] OA DATE				
171/Plane 1 1 h h h h				
UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENCIAL SIGN 61				
APR 55 ZJ/ which may be used				
U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1955 - O-54 54 1				

Commonts on Cuban Revolutionary Council

We have reduced the so-called civil section budget

(admin) to the latest figure of \$125,000 for September.

Monthly admin costs for this organization used to run almost

\$180,000, if we included their so-called military section

(which was salaries primarily for military staff recruiters,

rentals for effices, medical, etc.). Our dependency pay
ments are now at \$313,700 monthly. This may increase slightly

since we are resuming monthly payments to vidows again after

their lump sum death benefit payments run out.

To date in FY 62 (including September payments) I would say we have expended on the CRC \$1,208,775 for strictly dependency payments and \$466,000 for admin and related charges.

Re Cable IN 20364 (Kent's Meeting s/Miro on 26 August 61)

Statement: Miro's claim to have lined up fifteen organizations and independents for an enlarged CRC.

Comment: This is usual claim of all leaders from Garcia Kohly. The plain facts are that any leader who can prove official U. 3. backing (policy and funds) will undoubtedly be able to line up backing since the man with the purse wins the game. WH/4/Prop points out that Conte Aguero, for example, is not working with the CRC and doesn't intend to, unless he would know the CRC is the organization.

On the question of funding the professional groups we formed (DRE, DMR, ACJ, FORD, CFC) we still feel we should do so. In seeze cases, it is doubtful whether they would buy CRC control of funds. To date, they have done good work under our direction and funding; whether they would do as well affiliated with the CRC is a question. In any case, MAVE says they will try to keep Miro a little more informed on the groups' activities.

On the charge that Swan is under "Batistiano" management, this is false. AMFALM-4, who was running it during the strike, is being phased out. The station may not reflect sufficient

liberal thinking at present, but this will be remadied in the immediate future. Conte Aguero will participate in future programming. We see no reason why CRC control of Swan would make it any better instrument against Castro.

Any problems on payments to returnees can and should be handled at WAVE.

We do not understand Miro's talk about a <u>new invasion</u>.

The question of the older military types to go to "academies" has been talked about vagualy in the past (raised, I think, with Chamberlain), but we've never seen this list given to Goodwin.

The question of who contacts Miro should definitely be resolved. I gather they (higher authorities, Tracy?) don't want Chamberlain to continue, but should we get officially in contact with Miro in Missi? Mr. Goodwin should so direct if desired. However, they do have contact through their Comptroller, Paula, to our finance people.

Hight raise problem of the PRD within the CRC. I understood Bissel thought this internal grouping should be broken up and the organization be a CRC. Perhaps if Goodwin made this a point it would be done.

Incidental Points

Of course, the primary point is should there be a CRC? We don't need it operationally and it doesn't seem to have my support internally. H. Tharpe's points on this are good. Any head Cuban group should be Cuban-formed, on a voluntary basis, not U. S. pushed.

You know, Mostre said he had \$25,000 of CRC propaganda money he didn't know what to do with. The only CRC or FRD regular prop work is weakly information bulletin that costs about \$10-12,000 monthly, distributed throughout Latin America to leading figures, a Protuguese edition for Brazil and to Cuban delegations in Latin America. Sometimes they do one-shot actions (i.e., anti-Communist book published in Mexico). They need guidance to spend what they already get.

there was \$25,000 left over from last month's admin support to the group. (I can only assume this came from Paula.) Therefore, we could have cut September payment to \$100,000. We ought to keep this in mind in next month's (if we have one) payment. Therefore the have indications that the salary payments now are virtually welfare payments to political followers rather than

for value received in anti-Castro efforts. Can we afford to keep these discredited leaders in pocket change?

Rent feels that Miro is the best of a poor lot; that

if we have to support a Cuban exile grouping, Miro, at present
is the only choice.