

XAAZ-17623 2 July Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CBO in his office by Gov. Conrad Panel letters: YADW. Give opinion that letter may have thought he might be hostile but really it was a cover have formed this one. ENH. This would have been [S. 6174US]

XAAZ-22941 7 3 July 1964 Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 28 March relating a alleged statement by John Brown.

XAAZ-27222 7 7 July 1964. Letter from Rankin transmits State Dept memo regarding a Soviet visa procedures, esp. re applicability to Soviet cases.

XAAZ-27221 9 July 1964. MOA by Mr. Helms: Discussion with W. Donald Stewart re question of Soviet policy toward Russian wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?) Our reply had referred to spouses leaving with husbands, State had doubt with larger question of unrec. departures.

XAAZ-22812 7 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA discuss. of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the wife in report. Stinson said that the Commission would not reproduce at text but refer to substance. Rankin discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.

XAAZ-17623 7 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation. Requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ-27209 7 10 August 1964: MOA by Helms & Helms re 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Stinson re defector wife handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "Use of Wives of Defectors in Warm Countries" Report - AWD, Rankin, Stinson, Helms, Murphy, Douglas. Report formed agreement to report

✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 27 Aug 68: Sergievich or whom we had no trace walked in, told a story of having been LHO in body 1960, alleged that this account on KGB. as well as he. An Am. emb. officer was present. S. alleged. in which one of two girls was murdered. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his extreme odd behavior indicates a mental problem, thinks he claims to have suffered brain damage or injury. I really life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Cooby. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been present & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960; after which he met LHO. April & May. Head phrases wet appear in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Based on by. Emb. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB pres. knew he was in the Emb. & his presence. He walked out firing post both suit & amir.

?? Mental case ^{is it?}
Was this info passed to ^{anyone} in community? Did it not wind up on 7/24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 1964: "Apparent homicide in material furnished the Commission by Col. of the Dept of State" Nelson R. Sanchez, further & letter by Sanchez & Nelson 3 July 1964. Refers to talks between Glenn & Wagner 9 July 1964 regarding activities in Sov. espionage operations from USSR. Specifies one only mentioned in summary of 26 cases, including 10 American

XAAZ-27249 contd.: This present ^{in Serz ~~copy~~}
is ~~copy~~

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of
H from file problem. Draft of a general
reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and
attached

② NA: one of these papers refers to a British ~~lab.~~
machine who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the lab.~~

XAAZ-22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren
Comm. (Kochin & Helms) for permission to
publish list of docs. as author. in "Foreign
Intelligence" section of its report.
Asks for a letter approval.

XAAZ-22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MRR by Hull & Sharkey
on Pros. Fr. Com. Section of Report & letter list
of selected para & wording

XAAZ-22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin ~~letter~~
264. ~~Organization, Kochin & Helms Embassy, Moscow [29 July 1964].~~
See additional notes

XAAZ-22800 of 31 Aug 64. Kochin & Helms: Extract
from memo of course title date 19 Feb 1964
sent to Comm.

XAAZ-22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kochin & Helms. Request
- translation, ~~analysis~~ of activities ~~from~~ LHO ~~about~~
via applications, ~~sent~~ from ~~Comm.~~ ~~govt.~~ ~~State~~
translations. Also in ~~case~~.

XAAZ-22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendations ~~for~~
copy ~~to~~ Kochin

XAAZ-22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State
translations of LHO applications May. for ~~in~~ ~~and~~ ~~copy~~
by ~~to~~ ~~15~~ ~~act~~, plus ~~also~~ ~~consider~~ ~~comment~~

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Rankin to Helms, re
memorandum to public agency memo. 2 July 1964. re
statement made by an officer in the forest accountant
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.
by some info.

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Helms memo on his
meeting in Cuban govt agency on LHC's passport
no. First color account is here passed through
Bureau memo D092526. This later ledger copy
show it as D092526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin A240E, re Cuba coast.
Lorenz

[NB: Boston Daily interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
14 Sept 1971 re Warren case in Oswald case]

XAAZ-22795 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin to Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. Attached Census. Exh. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 21 June 1964 re
Oswald. info relative to intel. methods & org. Request
date of 4 June 1967 revised

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Cook on
results of visit to Commission & 4 copies FBI reports
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targetable
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 of 15 Dec 1964: re allegation of unid.
document of Cuban involvement in ^{an} assassination
attempt. ^{held up at} Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, since deceased, lived
and worked from a well known Cuban secretariat
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico City. This forgotten by an airplane.
[DBI rejected this account because of internal
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9484) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 of 8 Feb 1965: letter from Katz, author of
DBI concerning working of security controls
on CIA corresp. furnished to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 of 27 Feb 1965: DBI's reply to the
general controls on CIA corresp. Regulate
background and notes how agreement
worked on such items. State that in no instance
was an error with held from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the assassination
(Reply prepared by Chasly-

CSCI-316/01398 of 3 Grand 1965: forward copy of an
amb. letter to Sov. Emb. referring to LHO.
Named: U.S. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

RSA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, IT.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March 64 - Mr. Wilkins, Mr. Stone et al (James
Murray in case handling, written records
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Noble (re Dr. Mohrenscheldt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting prep.)

Mr Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office)
with Murray & RSA, 27 March 14:30 (see above)
24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Stinson, Helms, Murray, Boyle)

NB: RSA named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5277 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA briefing

Re Commission's criticism of Warren Report (whose
discom is already out). This brings down
general lines pointing out: (1) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (2) critics follow selective criteria
re details & questions (3) conspiracy, especially in
this case by nature of circumstances. (4) Oswald as a
loner (5) Report not a research job. (6) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 418 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

00000
DDB-79914 of 28 Aug. 67: case of Eugene Guedols
Gonzalez. Mentions protest NYC, arrested by FBI
19 Nov 1963 conference with member of team of
assassins sent to help Kennedy. FBI says he was
never arrested nor interviewed. (See further
notes of 7 Feb 1967 in El Tiempo (NY) which claims
Coster looked out for team to US & back to Mexico.)

XAAZ-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
Dawson case with other American defections
to USSR and mentions relating to H-defectors
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. Mexico City file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State transmission
of letter and covering docs. by ex State employee
Charles William Thomas in circumstances of Cuban
esp. in conspiracy. (See Warren Commission Report
pp. 299-310, 658-59, 730-36). Copies sent by letter
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. source
of cover with Mrs. Pary (nee Gans) on 10 Dec
1965. Clean Gans m. to Arthur Pary. She documents
as Measurement.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000
DCB - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review vols of
materials prepared for national circulation re
messes for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

DGI OB Mexi - 1963

(201-334252)

@ Marcos

Manuel Eugenio VEGA Perez. b. 1919 Havana.
Third Secty. Capt. Emb. Mex. ^{from} ^{the} ^{line} of ^{Latin}
Emb. ^{Identified} ^{as} ^{the} ^{same} ^{as} ^{the} ^{one} ⁱⁿ ^{the} ^{list} ^{of} ^{the} ¹⁹⁶³.
2nd Lt. ^{Apr} 64. Presumably wife of
resides in Embury. ^{was} for new "Committee
in response of the Cuban Revolution". Ex school
instructor
Reportedly worked under supervision of someone
near CORONA Montoto, Comm. Councillor

NB: who is Infector (1964) AMSTOKE/1 ?

AMSTOKE-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Enoch
AZCUB in ^{his} ^{presence} had told VEGA Perez that
one instructor of Capt. Casilda del Castro to
AZCUB that VEGA was to handle evade
caso or proyecto problem & definitivo
(he had recovered & had been handled
by someone there.)

Recently reported from 1963 on handling subv.
contracts. (AMSTOKE/2, from SINOBIS).
bjna - 137 ¹⁹⁶³ on leave in Havana

NB: as of 14 Nov 63 "fullest possible coverage" had
been req. by Hyq. on this individual. In March 63
Hyq. expressed being in Max Tourist Entrance.

In Henry's brief 2 Sept 63, Mex. network contain
OB of Cuban installations of Hyq. on 14 in Mex.
[Query: was it normal for a case like VEGA's
application to be handled by a local employee
not an officer

Describes 21 Nov 1963 (Uthoff - 12557) as in Hyq.
in charge of covering caso. Actual quest
some & Cuba.

Rodriguez
Lopez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Regalado) were in contact with two ^{Mexican} agents of group led by Arcadio Aguilar which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for try. This confirmed by two sources.

Another Des. Officer: Miguel P. ... 1st Lt Nuez
Police 54-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DGI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MIRABEL in that capacity. He acted as chief of DGI there in August, 22 June 63.

Alfredo Mirabel Diaz, Consul, arrived Mexico 2 Sept 63 held in contact. From Johnson Sec. Oct 63. Vega set Mexi 16 April 64 to release Regalado Rodriguez for inspection. Regalado left PC 25 April.

Random on Vega:

- Am. Mex < Mex 2 Apr 62
- Dep. Mex > Mex 6 Jan 63
- Am. Mex < Mex 13 Feb 63
- Dep. Mex > Mex 13 Apr 63
- Am. Mex < Mex 21 April 63
- Dep. Mex > Mex 3 Nov 63
- Am. Mex < Mex 16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1961

NB: only with lets turned to Co

NB: This would involve him about Mex on 9 23 Nov 63. First time in Mex during LIT 63 stay 27 Oct - 25 Oct

All people going to Cuba legal or alleged must first contact Subject. He to then bring. etc and send it to Cuba for review checking. This review checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Mex from Mex. Nov 63 and was connected to the Dep. of Intl. Aff. of DGI in Nicaragua. He left Mex. set a legalist. He left

Vega visitó a New York y retornó a Colombia
1966. Was added to the exhibit in the
U.S. of SA, 14 April 1966. Deposition New York
27 June 1966. Act 66 known by the name of the
de Petitioner. Interim report of the Consejo Nacional
de Cultura en Cuba.

Vega visitó a Nueva York 29 Mayo - 14 Junio 1967



Replazado por el expediente Caja de H. Nacional


Anexo a su. Libro de Pedro L. Ruiz de la Cruz,
Historia Costas Sur. Vol. 1






()











Duplicates

Nate

1. Circumstantial Facts: from late Sept - 27 Nov the case was handled as a matter of ordinary business in both Mexi and in Hyp. Handling was by the book, keeping in mind @ Mexico Station had a large on going case load and @ LHC, misidentified (by acct) and reported (8th Oct) to Hyp and disseminated (10th) was no more, and that case under the jurisdiction of Mexi.

2. Criticism can be sustained against Mexico Station and Hyp concerning handling between 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

(1) Mexico Station accepted as forwarded, 1st LHC identification of E. B. based on Vella intercept only on 15th Oct.

discrepancy in an individual's identification in the USSR. Error. Source. Or evidence that was not used in the person's identification by Mexico Station and any one of the...

...tea there was a reason to believe that he could not have been the individual in question during the analysis, info based on the photographic of unidentified individual.

(2) Hyp disseminated the Mexi info of the 8th on the 10th including the analysis, info based on the photographic of unidentified individual.

(3) In its reply to Mexi on 11th, however, Hyp gave Mexi a correct description of (LHC) which was at close variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, normally to break any evidential connections between the two facts.

(4) Mexico Station though asked by Hyp did not press or secure an identification or following an LHC before the arrest of Nov. (i.e. locate where he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: meeting did

2. Hyp. can be criticized for not being more thorough in its investigation.

the legal attaché or the Mex. representation or the Embassy (which was informed by the 10th Oct).

- (5) Though requested by Mexico Station to ask the Navy for a photo of H.O., but did not permit and secure a photo before the event.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match the 1st radio intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17th and 19th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov. event, when it had no radio clarity.
- (7) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy and on 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22/Nov.
- (8) Despite the discrepancies in description Mex Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (in effect, being faced with a fait accompli). (Trans. not typed)
- (9) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of H.O. in Mexico city. The intro. of the unidentified individual - in good faith by COS - was restricted and compromised the operations in the long run, though every effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.

(10) Two members of Cuban Int. know to have dealt with H.O.: Silvia Lomon and Conrado Aguirre. Both left & replaced on 21/11/51. named.

8. The thrust of the information after the 22nd Nov event was against the USSR report, rather than the Cuban coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The basic Calderon conversation - afternoon 22nd Nov. which seems not to have gone to the Commission. normally? - this must be checked

- and (b) the ^{two} Brothers - Pines through telephone calls on the _____ and _____,
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahovic -

4. Major coverage after 20th based on technical coverage and size utilization of liaison. The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Smith & Lubans aware of the coverage visual & audio before 20th?

(b) Did Fox and/or Lubans have penetrations in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to show or know details of contact between UFD ^{at various points during} than the substantive details of the intercepts?
viz:

(1) Case of Gilberts Alvarado Agosto - Nicaragua within 24 hr. investigation protracted through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Bureau. (Can he later in May 1967 -> statements to confid. source he trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Cuban Case details.) Silva was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we knew or could surmise from its intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villaverde case (in broadcastings & lognormal). [This exposure to some has been admitted.]

lets: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviets or Cubans or both, that must be beyond the transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Ent. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an illicit, developmental stage and that his support to the project was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving [and self-determined] "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his dealings with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Cuban individuals which he felt the Soviets nor Cuban leadership would accept after the events of 1961, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of LHO's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Brazilian Embassy reception? Note that AP's account could represent element of the AP statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual's self-love. Therefore LHO's account must be a significant collateral weight for what is said about the active and degree of involvement of LHO against

... since, his alive and JFK is dead, was ever evidence
an act of assassination where it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychotic victim for
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you now conduct
an investigation in order to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a justified ~~retorsion~~ ^{or could have been} again,
in the absence of factual collateral that goes beyond
what we do know, namely that it was the will
of JFK and Officer Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the author's speculations * to
his book F.O. intention: what signif. coincidentally
can be attached to what he said? (I substituted the
remarks of the author and people insight.)

In question of lack of supporting evidential isolated
also requiring that these were two distinct sources
unless come out immediately after the assassination, one
Soviet (Kruschev) and the other Cuban (Castro) who
trough of copying comparative investigating tools
both books and known intelligence sources services
off the books

*NB: In 1964 for a brief source and reported it could be
Harris family, intention as main source of the book but
JFK was the writing in the present year 1964

7. There is every reason to be unhappy with the work of
the book in many aspects but it's not harmful to
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not concerning about the
anti-Castro is the same thing, assuming they mean. It's to be known
I know the policy was the deal is to be known to bring
but not the message is to become directly involved
with the anti Castro plotting. By not recognizing that the
deal is to be known to bring in 1961 is the only argument
the only argument is to be known to bring in 1961
is to be known to bring in 1961 is the only argument
is to be known to bring in 1961 is the only argument
is to be known to bring in 1961 is the only argument

(Note that if we specifically complain to Cops for
fanning his reporting that it is possible
of foreign involvement.)

In part of the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's
position was or is not known to me — it may be that
the report did not ^{even give a hint and} could have left a larger opening
for further accumulation of evidence relative to
the foreign conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was
or advised by C.I. Staff at the time. In any
case they set a matter of measure or showing and
since we are talking here of degrees of complicity,
since the Report properly need does leave
the question of ⁱⁿ ultimate responsibility of L.H. or
a Singleton Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff do to formulate
the criteria necessary to cover all aspects of the case and
to get them investigated — in this instance by the FBI

8. Status of info disclosed abroad after assassination
i.e. possible limit bearing on assessment of the
foreign conspiracy theory.

- (a) Laska, ins. defector 1949 — info passed.
- (b) Hovland — early 1964 — info passed in substance.
- (c) Gurgelovich — within 100 miles of ^{129th St} ~~129th St~~ ¹⁹⁵²
all info 1957. Discussed near 3rd info
at Berlin 1958. Ment of case.

~~Adopted the same approach as was used in the case of~~
"wanted" case? — as of the information in file.

- (d) Be evidence that info has been passed ^{explaining}
with Laska defector, including both verbal
and written.

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full facts held by Agency in sworn testimony West. May 6, 1964 (see Vol I: 120-124, and by affidavit Exhibits 868 and 869). Also he announced ^{1/16} on ^{2/7/65} that in no instance was any doc. withheld from public domain if it had a direct bearing on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a minimum input from Waver and no concern with the implications of Statement, concerning his Statement as Costas on 7 Sept 1963. [Query: what was reaction within all of highest levels to that Statement? This should be traceable in Helms files, if not cost's files and Gps.]

11. The above is not intended to be a complete list but was not to go back out to get the balance of the information gathered by 1965.

12. Helms persistent involvement in Castro case
- 12-1) Helms persistent involvement in Castro case as a result of his role in Cuban Revolution. See next item and individual account receipt book identification with Castro revolution.
 - 12-2) The presumption here is that Helms could have been Cuban revolution agent since on 2/18/64 going back to 1959 when he was still in the USSR.
 - 12-3) See also Helms testimony, Vol I: 120, 121, 122, who is identified by Helms, Vol I: 235.
 - 12-4) See Helms schedule testimony, Vol I: 237.
 - 12-5) Helms persistent involvement concerning the assassination of Oswald, Helms on 1/16/65, Helms on 2/7/65.

12-1 to 12-5
Helms on 1/16/65
Helms on 2/7/65

controlled him, requested a copy, offered to turn
 into a letter enclosed for info, and delivered to
 give him money. This incorporated as 3 Aug when
 roughly found the pending asset, wearing a
 sign, showing name of Kibell as holder of F.P.C.
 On 12th there was a municipal court matter
 for which Kibell pleaded guilty, a fine of 11th, on 14th
 he was going out handbill in some cases, bringing
 out a packet of 1000 handbills on 16th, on 21 Aug there
 was a radio debate, Mr. also requested a copy of
 Ed. but is of handbills to the American.
 (see also records to Charles testimony)

FBI it is remarkable that never apparently proceed
 with the FBI procedure which attempted

(1) what does one make of the evidence testimony,
 with other testimony, and the background, histo-
 rical

(2) what was the involvement of O's alleged son date
 activities in H.O. on behalf of the F.P.C.? How
 are except this idea he had and evidence or
 contacts. after he had begun to write & labor
 cons. by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
 What does a chronic record of his narrow
 int. movement look like starting with the
filled printed procedure date?

See Delgado testimony

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Harker, on
 9 Sept 1963 - more was testimony taken on this
 point & through the decision that appeared in
Times Magazine must have been seen by him.
 It reflects main line however p. 412 ff.

13. Report does not consider Overall's sequence of activity as ^{possibly} operational continuities in which Goss and Cuban were involved ~~in~~ their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission report should have left ^{a reader} guessing on this aspect because:

- ① there was very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude awareness, assessment and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interests, including an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Toro, and if there were any doubt, Novak's testimony in KGB file is conclusive.
- ② The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Sweden) fell far short of anything that fact and reasonable inference suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22/10/57.)

14. In addition to the explicit identification of knowledge ~~document~~ suggested in the house (Goldman) intercept - she is identified by a somewhat cryptic description as having been associated with the ~~of~~ being her ~~of~~ ~~of~~ - there are

① the Venetian info - Kennedy reports after the court - end 1962

(Ricardo L. ...)

② Thy Saint's Group from Netherlands born 7 Nov 1903 - last reported after the assassinations (his name was known at one time as "J. Lee" and eventually an assumed name used by the regime by his government was given a wholly created info. ...)

Novak's ...
around ...
got it ...
in ...

Notes

O-File - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Reported to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in memo TS to Roach: 19 Feb 1964 "Inform
developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, are stated:

" From all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. Two words
in para seven of same report, on pages four
and five, a phrase in the caption of the
initial memo and mean that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problems. This day would
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion. "

Wane memo reported by the USSR of 22 Nov, 2002, that
KMT-NT 53 reported AMIS, 1954 delegate and resident
committee in Aug. 63 on U.S. status world. Summary of
AMIS, 1954 file includes to name some columns 1960 on
22 Nov.

Valerij Vlad. Kostikov is identified KGB agent, allegedly believing
to be a 13th Dept operative. He is known to have worked
in matter of missing saboteurs. Beliefs of a few months
or possibly more duration matter known to be at the
time an accepted doctrinal point at least among GML.
NB: we passed to FBI in mid. (23 Nov) detail on Costikov and
3 finished papers hearing on topic - 2 of these two were
concerned with Sov use of Kod. & news. Ex. Int. (Aug 61)
& the other, Sov St. Spec. Act. (Oct 61)

Dt 84915 of 23 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only
the gist of intelligence info reported by Mexi re "North
American" on 23rd aft. full file review

Report from Santiago Club re: Nov 22 in Cuba. Last meeting
indicated unind. activities that were "yesterday
& 14", Extreme right & segregationist to them. This
was change from Marxism, PLOZ. Some time has since
that answer was included in 712C indicating that this
was only suspect of activities right & segregationist
in the future? (From Santiago 6153 IN 6714),
23 Nov-63)

NB: There was no known recorder on Sov. Int. on 4 Oct.
See Mexi 7023 - IN 671075. This cable relates the North Amer.
82 the 28th calls to Oswald identified in case of 1 Oct, but
indicated no voice comparison could be done "because
last time used prior receipt of second call"

It is clear from context that FBI was sent copies of
Kod. aft. was read carried 2 sets of six
photos each returned to Vlad. but. on 7/12/7
at 11:00 AM. It is not clear...

14-00000

Mexi provided full numbers of all probable calls beyond
the 7th in Adama & including the 3 October call by # 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252).

Mexico
Adama
Blanch

23/4

According to C/Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera
was down on '27 feet for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw
worked personally on various subsequent
photo trays. Also certain that was ~~conclusive~~
explicable or indisputable. Not a matter of
human malfunctions & loading & unloading,
either. Would attempt to bring elements of
recall & consult them on this point. We
would get in touch.

Query: Granted above, why did we not
get E at the lunar installations on 18th 20th ?

- Custom operate - processed in the fall. IR with most worked out in the ...
- At morning of
- operate! He also ...
- down other things. He had made ...

John collected about twice a week - collecting processed & things presented.
 say for just would be
 out
 From

Not a balance
from necessary
to some extent
to some extent

3/10/41
at least

Appear that Mexico City station, ^{within its}
~~position to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done
^{that}
all it could, ⁱⁿ compliance with
headquarters instructions; there were no

further constraints by Oswald to report, ^{because}
^{it was ultimately essential,}

he had left Mexico City, ^{on 2 October}

and ^{was} ~~was~~ ^{was} photographing ^{it} ^{was}
^{taken} ^{any} ^{special} ^{of} ^{note}

from ^{the} ^{position}

identification ^{of} ^{several} ^{of} ^{Oswald's} ^{with}

registration under alias.

Tell with Michael Forman

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? set, case 2 fig)
Action to web.
2. Dis 74676 to Stat, 3-51-2012
3. Dis 74830 to Mexi, ref. Mex 6453
22092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1953 74-6357
17302. (copy for photo)

What is

111 Report in 013

Claimed J. Butler - Exec. Director of the
Disarmament Council of the Americas, New Orleans.
has been testimony not taken from this man

Get George joined info by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Amos. Report report for
the Berlin & Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call Gene on non-family of Cuban
airline

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 12³

✓ Get transcription copy of Hester & work

I have not done a good job of looking at
many of the photos. I have not done a
thorough job. The photos are of the same
subject.

We can see the same subject.

Discussions with H. Phillips 28 April = it was
Cuban camera this was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing plain photos of J. Butler, Communist &
anti-air Club.

- Get 4th = ...

- Get further ... for end
1963 ...

- We must ... of discussion with
the Commission ...

- ... of ... or ...
... with the
Commission.

28/7

Mike ... of ... since ...
was
since
... ..