بيوريد ومناويع والمنافق والمنافق والمنافقة وال

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

11 January 1977

06-77-6276

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SE Division

ATTENTION : Division Security Officer

FROM : (Thomas L. Briggs)

SE/X/LA

SUBJECT : Appearance As Witness In Behalf of the

United States Government

REFERENCE: USA V. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al. (76-371-CR-CA)

1. During the period of my employment as a Special Agent at the Drug Enforcement Administration (A., 1871 Jan 1977), I conducted an interview of Gerald Patrick Hemming (DPOB 1 March 1937, at Los Angeles, California) on 23 May 1975 at DEA Headquarters in Washington, D.C. My report concerning that interview has become part of the case file in USA v. Gerald P. Hemming, Et Al., Case Number 76-371-CR-CA, Southern District of Florida, Miami, Florida.

- 2. Upon my entrance on duty in SE Division on 5 January 1977, I orally informed the Division Security Officer, Billy Hix, about the information in paragraph 1 above. Mr. Hix asked me to submit the information in writing as soon as I was settled in the Division. On 7 January 1977, however, Special Agent Robert Fredericks telephonically contacted DC/SE/X/LA, and told her that the United States Attornery, Southern District of Florida, had requested that I appear at the U.S. Courthouse, Miami, Florida on 10 January 1977 to confer with the Assistant United States Attorney, Karen L. Atkinson, regarding my appearance as a witness in behalf of the U.S. Government in the trial of Gerald P. Hemming.
- 3. During the afternoon of 7 January 1977 I conferred with Mr. Hix who advised me to contact the Office of the General Counsel. I talked to Mr. Edmund Cohen who advised me to get in touch with the Office of Security, External Activities Branch (OS/EAB). I completed an Outside Activity Approval Request (Form 879), obtained the concurrence of DC/SE, Mr. John Stein, and submitted the Form 879 to OS/EAB. OS/EAB sent me to Central Cover Staff (Mr. Blandford) and then to the Office of the General Counsel. After discussions with Mr. Cohen, I called

S/A Fredericks in Miami at Mr. Cohen's suggestion. S/A Fredericks was not available. Mr. Cohen then advised me to return to my office and he would contact me with further instructions. Mr. Cohen retained the Form 879. Subsequently, Mr. Cohen advised me that I should travel to Miami as requested and that CIA would reimburse me for expenses connected with my activities in behalf of the U.S. Government. He further advised me that being in an overt cover status I should state, if asked under oath, that I am currently a CIA employee.

4. On 10 January 1977 I traveled to Miami where I met and conferred with AUSA Karen Atkinson and DEA S/A Robert Fredericks. They informed me that Gerald P. Hemming's case had been severed and his trial would not begin on 11 January. AUSA Atkinson told me she wanted me as a rebuttal witness if Gerald P. Hemming presented the defense that he had been working at the direction of DEA. She said they would not call me until and unless that defense was presented. She also said that she felt that any attempt to question me concerning my current place of employment was irrelevent and she would object to any such line of questioning. She said she would only ask me where I was employed at the time I interviewed Hemming. I returned to Washington D.C. the same day.

Thomas L. Briggs)

Distribution:

.1 - CCS

1 - 0S

1 - OGC

16 January 1978

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM:

Edmund Cohen

Assistant General Counsel

SUBJECT:

U.S. v. Hemming

1. On 22 November 1977 the undersigned accompanied by Messrs. Thomas Briggs Gary Mattocks and Robert Barteaux, travelled to Miami to confer with Judge Hoeveler, and Assistant U.S. Attorney Thomas M. Sherouse, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Florida, about subject case. Messrs. Briggs and Mattocks had dealt with Mr. Hemming while they were employed by the Drug Enforcement Administration and Mr. Sherouse wanted them available as rebuttal witnesses. Mr. Barteaux also was sought as a possible rebuttal witness to describe the Agency's relationship with Mr. Hemming in the event that Hemming raised a CIA defense.

- 2. On 23 November Mr. Briggs Mr. Barteaux and the undersigned met in chambers with Judge Hoeveler, Mr. Sherouse, Mr. Roger Howard, law clerk to Judge Hoeveler, and Mr. Ted Sakowitz, Public Defender for the Southern District of Florida. Mr. Sherouse decided that Mr. Mattocks would not be required to testify and he did not attend the meeting. The Judge initially expressed some reluctance to have an exparte conference because Mr. Hemming had assumed primary responsibility for his own defense with only limited assistance from the Public Defender. The Judge insisted that a transcript of the meeting be made but he promised that the transcript would be sealed and, in fact, would not be transcribed.
- 3. The undersigned indicated that the Agency had no interest in the outcome of the case and interposed no objection to the introduction of any relevant information. Our sole concern was to protect Mr. Briggs and his relationship with CIA both before and after his DEA employment. The Judge was informed that Mr. Briggs sole connection with Hemming was on 23 May 1975 and that he had no contact with Hemming during any period of Agency employment. The national security consequences of exposure of Mr. Briggs as well as the career consequences were outlined. In addition, the Judge was informed that Mr. Barteaux was prepared to testify as an overt Agency employee about any relationship between Hemming and CIA.

SECRET

E. 2. IMPDET CL BY 035114

- 4. Judge Hoeveler asked Mr. Sakowitz to cross-examine Mr. Briggs to determine whether he had any information which might be relevant to Hemming's defense. Mr. Sakowitz stated that although he was aware that Mr. Hemming wished to call Mr. Briggs as a witness, he was not fully informed of all aspects of the case and, therefore, reluctant to question Mr. Briggs Thereupon, Judge Hoeveler, swore in Mr. Briggs and proceeded to ask him a series of questions to determine whether Mr. Briggs interaction with Mr. Hemming had been in any way connected with his CIA employment. At the conclusion of this examination the Judge gave Mr. Sakowitz a second opportunity to question Mr. Briggs and when Mr. Sakowitz again declined, he stated that in light of the national security consequences which had been brought to his attention he was not inclined to permit questions relating to Mr. Briggs CIA employment.
- 5. At the conclusion of the conference in chambers, but before the jury was admitted into the courtroom, Mr. Sherouse informed Mr. Hemming that Mr. Briggs) was available as a witness. When the trial proceeded Mr. Hemming concluded his defense without calling Mr. Briggs). Mr. Sherouse, however, did call Mr. of Briggs as a rebuttal witness. On cross-examination Mr. Hemming asked Mr. Briggs whether he was with CIA. Mr. Sherouse promptly objected to the question, the Judge sustained the objection and Mr. Hemming went on to the next question. The trial concluded soon afterwards without Mr. Barteaux being called as a witness. It was subsequently learned that Mr. Hemming was found guilty as charged.

Edmund Cohen

EC: jz

14-00000

Distribution:

Original / LITIGATION-CRIMINAL, Hemming Gerald P.

1 - EC Signer

1 - Chrono

		ASSIFY AS APPROPRIATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
OUTSIDE ACTIVITY APPROVAL REQUEST							
COMPLETE AND FORWARD ORIGINAL AND 2 COPIES TO EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRANCH							
TO :	DIRECTOR OF SECURITY; ATTN:	EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES	CTIVITIES BRANCH 7 Jan 77				
THRU:	(Staff, division or office head and se	curity officer, where assig	ned)				
	Sa Division Security Offices						
FROM:	NAME AND GRADE OF EMPLOYEE (Print or type)	COMPONENT	ROOM NO. AND	BLDG.	PHONE		
	Cales, Thomas D. 12/3	** # .	100 58 <b>29</b>		) 93 		
1. FULL DESCRIPTION OF OUTSIDE ACTIVITY FOR WHICH APPROVAL IS REQUESTED INCLUDING NAMES OF ORGANIZATIONS OR INDIVIDUALS CONCERNED. DATES. LOCALE. ETC. (Refer to Hr 10-7 before completing)  JAN 7 January 1977 at approx. High one. In a violance in the variety of the first she had been to be phonically constanted by a r. Inversions of the in High in Violation (Fig. 2004-11-10-12), less yill-509-11-10-10) and tells wind In your me to the value of the highest to notend a pre-trial conference on languy 10 denneary in order to proper for possible appearance as a vivolation becamble of the approx. In 10 hrs with outside the fine a converse of contact the Carlos of the order to high a six outside the fine of becambly, external activities has discussed as to contact the Office of becambly, external activities has discussed as to contact the Carlos of becambly, external activities has discussed as to contact the Carlos of becambly, external activities has discussed as the contact of the Carlos of becambly, external activities has discussed as the contact of the Carlos of becambles. I (WILL NOT) BE IDENTIFIED AS EMPLOYED BY CIA FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:							
ų.	was a SIA employee From Jon 69 cor cover at them time. I have War77).	e mit pau desduyum <u>1881 —                                 </u>		iran <b>yy</b> Ir yesh	non de la companya d Companya de la companya de la compa		
3. COMME	NTS AND CONCURRENCE OF STAFF. DIVISION OR  CONCUR:	OFFICE HEAD					
		DATE S	IGNATURE OF OP	ERATING OFF	ICIAL		
FOR COMPLETION BY EXTERNAL ACTIVITIES BRANCH AND RETURN OF ORIGINAL TO EMPLOYEE							
DAT	URITY APPROVAL HAS BEEN (GRANTE	ED, DENIED)					
F'OR	THE ACTIVITY CONTEMPLATED BY T	MIS KEQUEST.	•				

CLASSIFY AS APPROPRIATE

(FOR) CHIEF, SECURITY SUPPORT DIVISION

Mo Sovery - discour Therese call re Q Doc re DCD andret you drug - Let de Bob Bosteaux to be ready to today. hop Brossery section. MFR on a Doc Syste uf Tom Sherouse 305 350 5449 Trul 250ct. 250ct - but calender call set for 200cb Thun Cal Call It call the on 210cd to see where y tand 10/25 Spoke W/ Shewer Hemma come is #8 m Judger Calade in a caret 1 earlied is late Next who as earlied Henry - will be own language Balan, By, Madox of he rebulled care Hubs Fri late AM roof to call on 16th of check. 11/15 Meet of Mo 5. Brys Maddox, Barteaux reven Showed statent, ok or why left apry or why returned, who doing. Sales weed of Me & Bouteman get 100 (Mel) view re import of public exposure on (Arygo) or Modern or briefor review of Bartenn all record on Henry. reserve for In EA 195 Not 1902 900 - 3 amou 1/20 11/17 - Called M - for more

3/P/

Tom Briggs , THO Kockville Md 20850 1. single cover a Jan 69 - May 74 CA - Arry & Ar am - A CIA - Arry & Ar Amus - Army one peur ops " clentitos DEA b. My 74 - 1 Jan 77 DEA 2. S. Jan 77 - No amen, State Even 2 only control of Herms -23 May 75 3. Deng to DC to see Comen up in Y NOW Sor target resembnuts
uty of 2 800 KGM may in trow name for 50 - regged - law enforcements

3/21

US vs Hemmin	(Gevald P. Hem	ming) 5. D of	(f/a.
P.O. Bldg - C+ H	Floor 350-4136	st Ave Migmi F	Tonda
Thomas M. SI	Lerouse Ast U.S	S Attroney (305)	350 5449 N 447)
	300 Ainsley B	39-	hm 856 2275
	Approximate different		
	,		
•			
	<u>-</u>		
	1		
, <del>-</del> '			·

<u>~;</u>

1879

Say Maddox - 4378958

Source G9-73 - any Come When Source Gran G9-73 - 26 Feb 77 (comm one)

- DEA Aug 73 - 26 Feb 77 (comm one) CID 27. Feb 77 - gen 5-6 mby Han It de cover nor luhan pro- ca, to pople ducastoms 2. The later How Dec 76 culod of Maddax no enlad befre DEA

rever personal enlad mly french DEA cut 3. red wifermy met moder over je purhose of navetices

3. red wifermy met moder over, begins august re smen

3. military show of Many and re smen

3. military show of moderate of the graph of president consentrations. Y vm - - Caspa gri -

2 who trul ogphe fi sylned No problems av al for Rends de not it of he are englage to fluly dand asse 3 - In Och 60 3 enny m eng 1161, 1 By 1967, 3 m 1970 - alle 23 Ag 70 Henry Can who sperly need in ioc W

